



— OPERATION —

NOAH & NOCHI

REOPENING THE STRAITS OF HORMUZ
IN 100 DAYS OR LESS



100 DAYS OR LESS

Bridging the Pacific: A Thought Experiment in Superpower Convergence

In a world long defined by rivalry between Washington and Beijing, the idea of a unified strategic and economic framework would once have seemed far-fetched. Yet in the speculative architecture of a post-alliance order, the emergence of two blocs—**NOAH (Nation of American Heritage) and NOCHI (Nation of Chinese Heritage Initiative)**—raises a compelling question: what if competition gave way, at least in part, to coordination?

At first glance, the two systems appear fundamentally different. One is rooted in market liberalism, pluralistic institutions and private-sector dynamism. The other reflects state-guided development, long-term planning and infrastructure-led integration. For decades, these differences have reinforced strategic mistrust.

And yet, the logic of interdependence remains powerful. Global supply chains are deeply intertwined; technological ecosystems, though increasingly divided, still overlap; and the world's most pressing challenges—energy security, climate change and financial stability—do not respect ideological boundaries.

A hypothetical NOAH–NOCHI framework would not eliminate differences. Rather, **it would formalise coexistence. In such a model, cooperation would arise not from identical values, but from shared incentives.**

Trade as a stabilising force

A combined economic sphere would represent an unprecedented concentration of capital, labour and technological capacity. Harmonised standards—across digital systems, energy markets and logistics—could reduce friction and increase efficiency. Trade, often treated as a source of leverage, would instead function as a mechanism of mutual stability.

Security through predictability

Joint exercises and coordinated maritime operations, particularly in strategic chokepoints, could reduce the risk of miscalculation. Military cooperation would shift from deterrence toward transparency, with clearer communication channels and more structured crisis management.

Technological coexistence

Rather than a zero-sum race for dominance, innovation could evolve into a partially shared ecosystem. Distinct systems might remain, but with agreed interfaces allowing interoperability. This would preserve autonomy while lowering systemic risk.

Education and civilisational exchange

Beyond economics and security, the most ambitious element would be long-term societal exchange. Large-scale academic collaboration, shared research initiatives and cultural interaction could gradually foster mutual understanding. Over time, this might encourage convergence—not toward identical systems, but toward more accountable and adaptive governance.

The 2050 horizon

Supporters of such a framework might argue that sustained engagement could gradually shape both blocs. NOAH-aligned systems could adapt to a more multipolar world, while NOCHI-aligned systems might evolve toward greater transparency and participation.

Scepticism would be inevitable. History suggests that great powers rarely abandon competition entirely. Structural tensions—over influence, security and ideology—would persist, and closer integration might expose new points of friction.

Still, the idea is worth considering. It challenges the assumption that rivalry and cooperation are mutually exclusive. Between confrontation and full alignment lies a spectrum—one in which managed competition coexists with selective partnership.

In that middle ground, a NOAH–NOCHI framework would not promise perfection. But it might make conflict less likely and coordination more routine. In an increasingly complex and interconnected world, even incremental stability could carry profound significance.

The question is not whether such a system is imminent, but whether the incentives for it are already quietly taking shape.

100 DAYS OF POWER

OPERATION NOAH & NOCHI

FORCE | ENERGY | FORTUNE



200,000
TROOPS ON THE GROUND



**STRAIT OF HORMUZ
SECURED**



3M+ BARRELS
PER DAY FLOW

ECONOMIC IMPACT

OIL & GAS REVENUE SURGE

LOW SCENARIO

\$150M / DAY

MID SCENARIO

\$300M / DAY

HIGH SCENARIO

\$2B-\$4B / DAY



100-DAY REVENUE POTENTIAL

\$30 BILLION

BASE CASE

\$60 BILLION

STRONG CASE

\$200B-\$400B+

HIGH IMPACT

MEGA WINDFALL



200,000 FORCES

STRAIT REOPENED

3M+ BARRELS / DAY

\$2B-\$4B PER DAY

SECURITY

ENERGY

CASH FLOW

STABILITY

100 DAYS TO TRANSFORM A REGION

CLASSIFICATION: SECRET // UK EYES ONLY // FICTIONAL ANALYTICAL PAPER

REFERENCE: JIO/STRAT/26/041

SUBJECT: NOAH–NOCHI CONVERGENCE: STRATEGIC ASSESSMENT IN A FICTIONAL POST-ALLIANCE ORDER

ORIGINATOR: Daniel Vidosh

DISTRIBUTION: RESTRICTED ANALYTICAL CIRCULATION

DATE: 20 March 2026

Minute

This memorandum has been reformatted in the style of a British strategic assessment, with a clear departmental structure, explicit judgements, and concise implications.

1. Executive Summary

This paper assesses a fictional scenario in which **NOAH** and **NOCHI** transition from strategic rivalry toward structured, selective coexistence.

Key Judgements:

- Cooperation is interest-driven, not values-based.
- Engagement will remain selective and transactional.
- Primary benefits include predictability in trade, security, and technology.
- Strategic mistrust remains the principal constraint.

Overall Assessment: The relationship is best characterised as **managed rivalry**, not alignment.

2. Strategic Context

NOAH and **NOCHI** represent contrasting systems of power:

- **NOAH:** Alliance-based, market-driven, rapid force projection.
- **NOCHI:** State-directed, infrastructure-leveraged, long-term planning.

Despite structural differences, both retain incentives to coordinate where instability threatens trade, energy flows, or systemic order. Global interdependence in finance, supply chains, and climate pressures limits the feasibility of full decoupling.

3. Structural Composition

3.1 NOAH

- **Military:** Globally deployable expeditionary forces with high operational tempo.
- **Economic:** Networked influence through finance, reserve currencies, and alliance trade.
- **Technological:** Decentralised innovation ecosystem across AI, aerospace, cyber, and defence industries.

3.2 NOCHI

- **Military:** Regionally anchored forces integrated with state strategy.
 - **Economic:** State-guided systems with infrastructure leverage and trade dependence.
 - **Technological:** Centralised planning with long-term innovation goals.
-

4. Comparative Assessment

Category	NOAH	NOCHI
Governance	Distributed alliances	Central coordination
Economics	Market-led	State-guided
Military posture	Expeditionary	Regionally anchored
Strategic horizon	Short to medium term	Long term
Influence	Institutional & financial	Infrastructural & developmental

Despite differences, the systems may be complementary under limited frameworks of cooperation.

5. The Case for Managed Convergence

Selective coordination could reduce friction in trade, energy, and technology. Initiatives such as academic exchange, research collaboration, and cultural contact may foster mutual understanding, though political differences would remain.

6. Joint Cooperation Model

Fictional convergence assumes a narrow interface for:

- Maritime security and intelligence exchange.
- Resource and investment coordination.
- Limited technological integration.

Summary: NOAH provides speed; NOCHI provides depth.

7. Strategic Objectives

- Reduce risk of miscalculation in shared-interest domains.
 - Stabilise critical supply chains and energy flows.
 - Maintain flexibility in operational and economic manoeuvring.
-

8. Risk Factors

Internal: Command friction, economic overlap, persistent mistrust.

External: Regional resistance, non-aligned competitors, asymmetric escalation.

9. Analytical Conclusion

A fictional NOAH–NOCHI framework would **not eliminate rivalry** but could make it more manageable. Its principal value lies in predictable coordination in critical areas, reducing escalation risks while preserving strategic competition.

10. Annex A: Integrated Fictional Doctrine

The scenario envisages a joint NOAH–NOCHI structure for rapid stabilisation operations in sensitive regions. It combines:

- Military speed
- Economic leverage
- Post-conflict administrative control

This annex is retained for reference only.

END OF PAPER
